

Signed 4/10/98

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Issuance of Final Supplemental Environmental Projects Policy

FROM: Steven A. Herman

Assistant Administrator

TO: Regional Administrators

I am pleased to issue the final Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEP) Policy, the product of almost three years of experience implementing and fine-tuning the 1995 Interim Revised SEP Policy. It is also the product of the cooperative effort of the SEP Workgroup, comprised of representatives of the Regions, various OECA offices, OGC and DOJ. This Policy is effective May 1, 1998, and supersedes the Interim SEP Policy.

Most of the changes made to the Interim SEP Policy are clarifications to the existing language. There are no radical changes and the basic structure and operation of the SEP Policy remains the same. The major changes to the SEP Policy include:

1. Community Input. The final SEP Policy contains a new section to encourage the use of community input in developing projects in appropriate cases and there is a new penalty mitigation factor for community input. We are preparing a public pamphlet that explains the Policy in simple terms to facilitate implementation of this new section.

2. Categories of Acceptable Projects. The categories of acceptable projects have remained largely the same, with some clarifications and a few substantive changes. There is now a new "other" category under which worthwhile projects that do not fit within any of the defined categories, but are otherwise consistent with all other provisions of the SEP Policy, may qualify as SEPs with advance OECA approval. The site assessment subcategory has been revised and renamed to "environmental quality assessments." The environmental management system subcategory has been eliminated.

3. Use of SEPS to Mitigate Stipulated Penalties. The final SEP Policy prohibits the use of SEPs to mitigate claims for stipulated penalties, but does indicate that in certain defined extraordinary circumstances, I may approve a deviation from this prohibition.

4. Penalty Calculation Methodology. The penalty calculation steps have been better defined and broken into five steps rather than three. A calculation worksheet, keyed to the text of the Policy, has been added. The penalty mitigation guidelines have not been substantively changed, only clarified.

5. Legal Guidelines. The legal guidelines have been revised to improve clarity and provide better guidance. The nexus legal guideline has been revised to make it easier to apply. The fifth legal guideline concerning appropriations has been revised and subdivided into four sections.

Questions regarding the final SEP Policy should be directed to Ann Kline (202-564-0119) in the Multimedia Enforcement Division.

Attachment

cc: (w/attachment)

OECA Office Directors

Regional Counsels, Regions I-X

Director, Office of Environmental Stewardship, Region I

Director, Division of Enforcement and Compliance
Assurance, Region II

Director, Compliance Assurance and Enforcement
Division, Region VI

Director, Office of Enforcement, Compliance and
Environmental Justice, Region VIII

Regional Enforcement Coordinators, Regions I-X

Chief, DOJ, EES

SEP Workgroup Members

David Hindin, Chair, EPTDD

Leon Acierto, V

Christopher Day, III

Joe Boyle, V

Lourdes Bufill, WED

Becky Dolph, VII

Karen Dworkin, DOJ, EES

Gwen Fitz-Henley, IV

Melanie Garvey, FFEO

Mark Haag, DOJ, PSLs

Tanya Hill, OGC

Leslie Jones, OSRE

Maureen Katz, DOJ, EES

Amelia Katzen, I

Ann Kline, MED

Gerard Kraus, MED

Sylvia Liu, DOJ, PSLs

Amy Miller, IX

Peter Moore, MED
Mike Northridge, OSRE
Reginald Pallesen, V
Rudy Perez, II
Erv Pickell, AED
JoAnn Semones, IX
Efren Ordonez, VI
Lawrence Wapensky, VIII
